PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference Wi-hf-01988	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See item 4 below		
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013254	International filing date (day/month/year) 22 November 2004 (22.11.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 December 2003 (03.12.2003)		
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
Applicant BEIERSDORF AG				

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).				
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.				
	In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.				
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:				
	Box No. I	Basis of the report			
	Box No. II	Priority			
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention			
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited			
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application			
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority			
		The state of the s			

Date of issuance of this report 29 August 2006 (29.08.2006) Authorized officer The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Agnes Wittmann-Regis Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70 e-mail: pt06@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERN		NAL SEARCHIN	NG AUTHOR	ITY		ANS,
То:						PCT PCT
				1		RITTEN OPINION OF THE IONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
						(PCT Rule 43bis.1)
					Date of mailing (day/month/year)	See form PCT/ISA/210
• • •		gent's file referen	ce		FOR FURTHER ACTION	
		01988				See paragraph 2 below
	_	plication No. 2004/013	254	International filing date 22.11.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03.12.2003
1			• •	n national classification at 21, A61K35/7		8, A61K7/42, A61P17/00
Applica BE I		DORF AG				
1.	This	minion contains i	ndications rela	ting to the following item	.c.	
•		Box No. I				
			Basis of the	opinion		
	H	Box No. II	Priority	-t		to a decrease of the decrease of the second constitution of the second cons
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability				ive step and industrial applicability		
		Box No. IV Box No. V	Reasoned st	ty of invention latement under Rule 43 <i>bis</i> y; citations and explanatio		novelty, inventive step or industrial
		Box No. VI	• • • • •	uments cited	and and beautiful and	
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international ap	plication	
		Box No. VIII		ervations on the internation	-	
2.	If a cluster than this lifthis writte	national Prelimina his one to be the nternational Searc s opinion is, as pa n reply together,	national prelin ry Examining IPEA and the hing Authority rovided above, where approp the expiration	Authority ("IPEA") exceptosen IPEA has notified will not be so considered to be a writt priate, with amendments and 22 months from the p	pt that this does not app d the International Bur i. en opinion of the IPEA , before the expiration	Il be considered to be a written opinion of the ply where the applicant chooses an Authority other eau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of A, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA at of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form expires later.
3.	For fu	rther details, see	notes to Form	PCT/ISA/220.		
Name a	nd mail	ing address of the	ISA/EP		Authorized officer	
Facsimi	le No.				Telephone No.	

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013254

Bo	k No. I	Basis of this opinion
1.		regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under
	_	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed action, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a.	type of material
		a sequence listing
		table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b.	format of material
		in written format
		in computer readable form
	c.	time of filing/furnishing
		contained in the international application as filed.
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4.	Addi	itional comments:

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013254

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statemen				
	Nove	Claims 1-13 Claims	YES		
	Inven	Claims 1-13	YES NO		
	Indus	Strial applicability (IA) Claims 1-13 Claims	_ YES _ NO		
2.	Citations	s and explanations:			
	I.	Prior art documents			
	D1:	SHIBATA S ET AL: "INHIBITORY EFFECTS OF			
		LICOCHALCONE A ISOLATED FROM GLYCYRRHIZA-INFLATA			
		ROOT ON INFLAMMATORY EAR EDEMA AND TUMOR PROMOTION			
		IN MICE" PLANTA MEDICA, vol. 57, no. 3, 1991, pages			
		221-224, XP009045109 ISSN: 0032-0943			
	D2:	US-B1-6 214 352 (MATSUKAWA SHINYA) 10 April 2001			
		(2001-04-10)			
	D3: WO 03/070152 A (COGNIS DEUTSCHLAND GMBH & CO. KG;				
	EGGERS, ANKE; HIRSINGER, FRANK; MOSE) 28 August 2003				
		(2003-08-28)			
	D4:	PATENT ABSTRACTS OF JAPAN vol. 2003, no. 11,			
		5 November 2003 (2003-11-05) & JP 2003 201214 A			
		(SHISEIDO CO LTD), 18 July 2003 (2003-07-18)			
	D5:	DATABASE WPI Section Ch, Week 200281 Derwent			
		Publications Ltd., London, GB; Class B04,			
		AN 2002-748666 XP002320778 & KR 2002 046 615 A			
		(COREANA COSMETICS CO LTD) 21 June 2002 (2002-06-21)			
	1.	Novelty (PCT Article 33(2))			
		The subject matter of the independent claims of the			
		present application appears to be novel because it			

Box No. V Reason

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

is contained in none of the documents of the available prior art.

 ${\tt D1}$ and ${\tt D2}$ do not disclose 2,3-dibenzylbutyrolactone derivatives.

D3, D4, D5 do not disclose Licochalcone A or an extract comprising Licochalcone A.

2. Inventive step (PCT Article 33(3))

The subject matter of the present claims 1-13 does not involve an inventive step.

According to the present application (page 13, lines 3-21), a combination of 2,3-dibenzylbutyrolactone derivatives and Licochalcone A is used for improving a series of skin conditions, for example to combat inflammations, skin ageing, pigmentation.

The effectiveness of Licochalcone A against inflammations, pigmentation was known from D1 (page 224, section with the title "Discussion") or D2 (column 3, line 11-18).

The effectiveness of 2,3-dibenzylbutyrolactone derivatives, such as, for example, arctiin or arctigenin against skin ageing, inflammations, pigmentation was likewise known from D3 (page 2, 2nd paragraph - page 3, 3rd paragraph), D4 (abstract) and D5 (abstract). The claimed combination thus produces no effects which were not already known from the prior art. In the present application, further effects are neither disclosed nor verified experimentally. The claimed combination is thus to be regarded as arbitrary and noninventive.

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013254

Box No. V Reasoned statem

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

II. P documents

P1: WO 03/099244 A (BEIERSDORF AG; STAEB, FRANZ; WOLBER, RAINER; BLATT, THOMAS; KOLBE, LUD) 4 December 2003 (2003-12-04)

P2: WO 03/101414 A (BEIERSDORF AG; TOM DIECK, KAREN; KOLBE, LUDGER; MUNDT, CLAUDIA; WENSOR) 11 December 2003 (2003-12-11)

A decision as to the validity of the claimed priority of these documents was not possible since the priority document was not available at the time of the examination.

In the case of a valid priority, P1 and P2 do not form part of the prior art within the meaning of PCT Rule 64.1(b) (P doc).

However, should the priority claimed by the applicant not be valid, P1 and P2 would be relevant for the inventive step of the present claims. P1 does not disclose Licochalcone A or an extract comprising Licochalcone A.

P2 does not disclose 2,3-dibenzylbutyrolactone derivatives.

The effectiveness of 2,3-dibenzylbutyrolactone derivatives against skin ageing, pigmentation, and the effectiveness of Licochalcone A against inflammations, skin dryness were known from Pl (page 4, line 1 - page 5, line 6; page 6, line 12 - page 7, line 35) and P2 (page 7, line 31 - page 9, line 13). The claimed combination thus does not produce any effects which were not already known from the prior art. In the present application,

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013254

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

further effects are neither disclosed nor verified experimentally. The claimed combination is thus to be regarded as arbitrary and noninventive.

3. Conclusion

In the absence of an additional surprising effect caused by the claimed combination it is not evident which part of the application could form the basis for a new, allowable claim.